## Parallelisation of ANUGA

Stephen Roberts<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics The Australian National University

September 15, 2008

▲ 同 ▶ → 三 ▶

## **Development Team**

- GA: Ole Nielsen
- ANU: Stephen Roberts, Linda Stals, Jack Kelly

▲□ ► < □ ► </p>

э

### Update Step

```
def evolve_one_euler_step (self, yieldstep, finaltime):
    One Euler Time Step
   Q^{(n+1)} = E(h) Q^{n}
    ......
   # Compute fluxes across each element edge
    self.compute_fluxes()
    # Update timestep to fit yieldstep and finaltime
    self.update_timestep(vieldstep, finaltime)
   # Update conserved quantities
    self.update_conserved_quantities()
   # Update ghosts
    self.update_ghosts()
   # Update time
    self.time += self.timestep
   # Update vertex and edge values
    self.distribute_to_vertices_and_edges()
   # Update boundary values
    self.update_boundary()
```

(日) (同) (三) (三)

# Parallelisation of the Algorithm

- partition the mesh into a set of non-overlapping submeshes
- build a 'ghost' or communication layer of triangles around each submesh and define the communication pattern
- I distribute the submeshes over the processors,
- and update the numbering scheme for each submesh assigned to a processor.



The main steps used to divide the mesh over the processors.

### **Ghost Triangles**



Roberts, Stephen Parallelisation of ANUGA

문 🛌 문

## **Ghost Triangles**

- During the evolve calculations Triangle 2 in Submesh 0 will need to access its neighbour Triangle 3 stored in Submesh 1.
- The standard approach to this problem is to add an extra layer of triangles, which we call ghost triangles.

## **Ghost Triangles**



An example subpartitioning with ghost triangles. The numbers in brackets shows the local numbering scheme that is calculated and stored with the mesh

Roberts, Stephen

Parallelisation of ANUGA

# **Ghost Triangles**

- The ghost triangles are read-only
- They are only there to hold any extra information that a processor may need to complete its calculations.
- The ghost triangle values are updated through communication calls.
- After each evolve step Processor 0 will have to send the updated values for Triangle 2 and Triangle 4 to Processor 1, and similarly Processor 1 will have to send the updated values for Triangle 3 and Triangle 5
- This happens in the self .update\_ghosts() of the evolve step

# Mesh Partitioning

- We use Metis partitioning library.
- Hierarchical partitioner
- glaros.dtc.umn.edu/gkhome/metis/metis/overview
- See George Karypis and Vipin Kumar. A fast and high quality multilevel scheme for partitioning irregular graphs. SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing, 20(1):359-392, 1999. http://glaros.dtc.umn.edu/gkhome/fetch/papers/

mlSIAMSC99.pdf

## Mesh Partitioning: Example



#### The Merimbula mesh.

Roberts, Stephen Parallelisation of ANUGA

## Mesh Partitioning: Example



The Merimbula grid partitioned over 4 processors using Metis.

Roberts, Stephen Parallelisation of ANUGA

## Mesh Partitioning: Example

CPU	0	1	2	3
Elements	2757	2713	2761	2554
%	25.6%	25.2%	25.6%	23.7%

#### 4-way test of Meribula Mesh

CPU 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 1229 1293 1352 1341 1349 1401 1413 1407 Elements % 11.4% 12.0% 12.5% 12.4% 12.5% 13.0% 13.1% 13.0% 8-way test of Meribula Mesh

・ 同 ト ・ 三 ト ・

3

# Performance Analysis

- Ran on a cluster of four nodes connected with PathScale InfiniPath HTX.
- Each node has two AMD Opteron 275 (Dual-core 2.2 GHz Processors) and 4 GB of main memory.
- The system achieves 60 Gigaflops with the Linpack benchmark, which is about 85% of peak performance.
- For each test run we evaluate the parallel efficiency as

$$E_n = \frac{T_1}{nT_n} 100,$$

where  $T_n = \max_{0 \le i < n} \{t_i\}$ , *n* is the total number of processors (submesh) and  $t_i$  is the time required to run the evolve code on processor *i*.

### Performance Analysis: Advection Rectangular

n	$T_n$ (see	:)	$E_n(\%)$	n	$T_n$ (se	c)	$E_n(\%)$
1	36.61			1	282.1	8	
2	18.76		98	2	143.1	4	99
4	10.16		90	4	75.06	5	94
8	6.39		72	8	41.67	7	85
		n	$T_n$ (se	c)	$E_n(\%)$		
		1	2200.3	5			
		2	1126.3	5	97		
		4	569.4	9	97		
		8	304.4	3	90		

Parallel Efficiency Results for the Advection Problem on a Rectangular Domain with (1) N = 40, M = 40, (2) N = 80, M = 80 and (3) N = 160, M = 160.

# Performance Analysis: Advection Rectangular

- The examples where n ≤ 4 were run on one Opteron node containing 4 processors, the n = 8 example was run on 2 nodes (giving a total of 8 processors).
- The communication within a node is faster than the communication across nodes, so we would expect to see a decrease in efficiency when we jump from 4 to 8 nodes.
- Furthermore, as N and M are increased the ratio of exterior to interior triangles decreases, which in-turn decreases the amount of communication relative the amount of computation and thus the efficiency should increase.
- The efficiency results shown here are competitive.

## Performance Analysis: Merimbula

n	$T_n$ (sec)	$E_n(\%)$	n	$T_n$ (sec)	$E_n(\%)$
1	145.17		1	7.04	
2	77.52	94	2	3.62	97
4	41.24	88	4	1.94	91
8	22.96	79	8	1.15	77

Parallel Efficiency Results for (1) the Advection Problem and (2) the Shallow Water Problem on the Merimbula Mesh.

4 3 b

# Performance Analysis

- The efficiency results are not as good as initially expected
- The profiled code indicated that the problem is with the update\_boundary routine.
- On one processor the update\_boundary routine accounts for about 72% of the total computation time.
- When metis subpartitions the mesh it is possible that one processor will only get a few boundary edges (some may not get any) while another processor may contain a relatively large number of boundary edges.
- The profiler indicated that when running the problem on 8 processors, Processor 0 spent about 3.8 times more doing the update\_boundary calculations than Processor 7.
- This load imbalance reduced the parallel efficiency.

### Code

```
# Setup computational domain
points, vertices, boundary = rectangular_cross(10, 10) # Basic mesh
domain = Domain(points, vertices, boundary) # Create domain
#
# Setup initial conditions
domain.set_quantity('elevation', topography) # Use function for elevation
domain.set_guantity('stage'. expression='elevation') \# Dry initial stage
# Create the parallel domain
domain = distribute(domain, verbose=True)
#-----
# Setup boundary conditions
\# This must currently happen *after* domain has been distributed
#_____
Bd = Dirichlet_boundary([-0.2, 0., 0.]) \# Constant boundary values
domain.set_boundary({ 'left': Br, 'right': Bd, 'top': Br, 'bottom': Br})
```